Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/585,544	MASUMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hien D. Vu	2839
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Mr. Hien D. Vu</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Frederick Dorchak.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 January 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 rejections		
Claims discussed: 1 and 6-9		
Prior art documents discussed: Tanaka		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed and agreed upon changes to clarify the claimed invention of claim 1 to more clearly set forth the intended concept of the invention and to further define over the prior art of record, to cancel claims 6,7 and 9 thereby to place the case in condition for allowance.